Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/577,968	MCCROSSAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen Alvesteffer	2175
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) <u>Stephen Alvesteffer, examiner of record</u> .	(3)	
(2) Dhiren Odedra, Reg.#41,227, for applicant.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>16 July 2009</u>	Time: <u>3:00PM</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 29, 33, 37, 38		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Stephen Alvesteffer/ Examiner, Art Unit 2175	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed Examiner's Amendment to clarify "state of button material" limitation in independent claims to overcome outstanding 35 USC 112 rejection. Also discussed a possible 35 USC 101 issue regarding claim 33, where the "playback apparatus" might be interpreted as software per se. However, applicants' representative pointed out specification publication paragraph [0272], explicitly defining the playback apparatus as comprising hardware.